

Mazin Enwiya/R5/USEPA/US

To

02/23/2006 01:45 PM

Subject Fw: Ellsworth Industrial Park: PPR, comments

FYI. Here's the email I received from Mike Maher.

Mazin Enwiya

U.S. EPA

Tel 312-353-8414

Fax 312-353-8426

<http://www.epa.gov/>

----- Forwarded by Mazin Enwiya/R5/USEPA/US on 02/23/2006 01:39 PM -----



Michael Maher

<mmaher@smbtrials.com>

02/16/2006 09:24 AM

To Mazin Enwiya/R5/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

Subject Ellsworth Industrial Park: PPR, comments

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.



265536

Mazin:

I represent Magnetrol International, Inc., one of the PRPs in the Ellsworth group. I have the following suggested comment regarding the Preliminary Planning Report for the Ellsworth site. That comment concerns (proposed) Section 2.3 Potential Sources, Secondary Study Subareas, Subarea K:

The PPR for Subarea K references a 10,992 pound release of TCE on the Magnetrol property between 1987 and 1992.

Several years ago, USEPA retracted Weston's statements (at Section 5.4.3 Weston's Phase II, August, 2002, Site Assessment Report regarding Ellsworth Industrial Park), regarding the mistakenly identified 10,992 pound release of TCE at Magnetrol, between 1987 and 1992.

Unfortunately, the PPR repeated his old, mistaken reference, despite USEPA's having retracted it and despite USEPA's having revised Section 5.4.3 of Weston's (August 2002, report) to eliminate the earlier, mistaken reference.

We ask that PPR Section 2.3, Secondary Study Subareas, Subarea K, be revised to eliminate the last sentence; thereby eliminating the mistaken reference to a TCE release on the Magnetrol property.

Also, we have been unable to corroborate the claim that Magnetrol used PCE. The Weston report makes this claim; but does not identify the source of that statement. Magnetrol did experience a one-time mistaken shipment of PCE (in a 55-gallon drum). But that mis-delivery was removed from the Magnetrol property. Is this, single 55-gallon drum the source of Weston's statements regarding PCE usage? If so, I offer to provide you with transcripts from recent depositions, which explain the single, 55-gallon drum mis-delivery. Can you assist regarding this?

If there is no information regarding PCE usage beyond the single, misdelivered 55-gallon drum of PCE, we ask that this reference be eliminated, also.